

Joint Authorities for Rulemaking of Unmanned Systems

RPAS

"Required C2 Performance" (RLP) concept

DOCUMENT IDENTIFIER : JAR_DEL_WG5_D.04

DOCUMENT CHARACTERISTICS

	TITLE			
	JARUS RPAS			
	"Require	ed C2 Performance" (RL	P) concept	
Publications JAR_doc_13 Reference:				
	ID Number:	D.04		
Edition:		V1.0		
	Edition 01/05/2016 Date:			
	Abstract			
		Keywords		
	-			
Contact Person(s)	Tel		WG	
Dominique Colin	+32 272 93	3182	Leader WG5	

	STATUS, AUDIENCE AND ACCESSIBILITY					
Status	5	Intende	ed for		Accessible	via
Working Draft		General Public	V	Intranet		
Final	Ø	JARUS members	Ø	Extranet		
Released Issue	Ø	Internal consultation		Internet http://jaru	Web site s-rpas.org	V

DOCUMENT APPROVAL

The following table identifies the process successively approving the present issue of this document before public publication.

Prepared By - Authors of th	Prepared By - Authors of the document.			
Name & Company		Position Title	&	Date
Dominique (EUROCONTROL	COLIN,	Task Leade	er	01/06/2016

PROCESS	NAME WG leader	DATE
Reviewer (internal to JARUS)	Willem Brondsema, EUROCONTROL	05/04/2016
Reviewer (external to JARUS)	Michael Neale, ACES Inc	14/04/2016
External Consultation	"	06/08/2015
Approval Plenary Team	"	15/06/2016
Published	ű	20/06/2016

Document History

Edition	Date	Status	Author	Justification
D01-00	16 Sep 2015	Initial draft	Dominique COLIN	Initiation
D01	1 May 2016	Ed 1.0	Dominique COLIN	Publication

Tab	le of	i cor	ntent

RP	RPAS1				
"R	"Required C2 Performance" (RLP) concept1				
DC	CUME	NT CHARACTERISTICS	3		
DC	CUME	NT APPROVAL	4		
Do	cumen	t History	5		
Ch	apter 1		. 10		
1	INTE	RODUCTION	. 10		
	1.1	BACKGROUND	. 10		
	1.2	PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT	. 11		
	1.3	EXPLANATION OF TERMS	.11		
Ch	apter 2	,	. 12		
2	OVE	RVIEW OF RLP	. 12		
	2.1	GENERAL	. 12		
	2.2	THE RLP CONCEPT	. 14		
	2.3	DETERMINING AN RLP TYPE	. 17		
	2.4	PRESCRIBING AN RLP TYPE	. 17		
	2.5	COMPLYING WITH AN RLP TYPE	. 18		
Ch	apter 3		. 20		
3	DET	ERMINING AN RLP TYPE	. 20		
	3.1	RLP TYPE	. 20		
	3.2	RLP TYPES – GENERAL APPLICATION	. 21		
	3.3	ASSESSING OPERATIONAL COMMUNICATION TRANSACTIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE			
	RPAS C	2 FUNCTION	. 22		
	3.4	SELECTING THE RLP TYPE	. 30		

Cha	Chapter 4		
4	PRES	CRIBING AN RLP TYPE	31
4.	.1	DETERMINE REQUIREMENTS	31
4	.2	RLP TYPE ALLOCATION	33
Арр	endix	Α	36
Арр	endix	В	39
Exar	nple o	f determining a RLP type (informative)	39

Acronym	Definition
RLP	Generic term for Required end to end C2 Link Performance
RLP availability (A)	The required probability that an operational communication transaction can be initiated when needed.
RLP continuity (C)	The minimum proportion of operational communication transactions to be completed within the specified RLP transaction time, given that the service was available at the start of the transaction.
RLP transaction time (TT)	 he maximum time for the completion of a proportion of operational communication transactions after which the initiator should revert to an alternative procedure. Two values are specified: a)RLP nominal time (TT 95%). The maximum nominal time within which 95% of operational communication transactions is required to be completed b)RLP expiration time (ET). The maximum time for the completion of the operational communication transaction sis required to revert to an alternative procedure.

RLP integrity (I)	The required probability that an operational communication transaction is completed with no undetected errors.
RLTP _x	The maximum time allocated to the summed critical transit times for a C2 message, allocated to system X.

Chapter 1

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The concept of required communications performance of the Command and Control link (C2 link RCP) has been published by JARUS in 2014. This concept was derived from ICAO Doc 9869-Ed 1.0 RCP Manual¹ to ensure the consistency between the two required communications performance concepts when being used for assessing the same technical system. This JARUS document was also in line with ICAO Doc 10019 RPAS Manual.

Recent developments in the RPAS communications standardisation groups call for an update of that document to provide better adapted terminology to address the performances of the RPAS C2 supporting systems, including the C2 link.

The "C2 link required communications performance" terminology (C2 link RCP) which was chosen in the previous edition was very close to "Required Communications Performance" (RCP) for ATM communications. But in the context of RPAS the performance of C2 is assessed at the entire system level, since the end-to-end system is a succession of several contributing sub-systems. The C2 link is only one of them. Having "C2 link" inserted in the acronym was misleading. Another benefit of having a dedicated acronym which does not include the tem RCP, is to avoid any confusion between the current RCP supporting the ATM functions and the required C2 Link performance in support of the command and control functions, which may include, but are not limited to ATM functions. This is the reason for choosing RLP; standing for Required (C2) Link Performance.

¹ Please note that ICAO will publish at the end of 2016 ICAO Doc 9869-Ed 2.0 PBCS Manual. This document is a major update of ICAO Doc 9869-Ed 1.0. It is performance based oriented.

1.2 PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

This document is based on the JARUS C2 link RCP concept Ed 1.0. Its purpose is to fix the issue of having C2 link spelled in the acronym.

It substitutes the "C2 link RCP" terminology with "Required C2 performance". Since the entire RPAS system includes a C2 link, the acronym standing for "Required C2 performance" will be "RLP" to recall that those requirements are specific to RPAS.

The purpose of this guidance material is to

- a) explain the concept of RLP;
- b) identify RLP requirements applicable to the provision of C2 communications
- c) support the use of command and control communications within a remote piloted aircraft system, and
- d) provide a basis for the application of RLP in a the context of operational scenarios.

1.3 EXPLANATION OF TERMS

The development and explanation of RLP rely on the understanding of terms which are included in Appendix A.

Chapter 2

2 OVERVIEW OF RLP

2.1 GENERAL

- 2.1.1 Remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) are a new type of aircraft which have to interact with the current airspace users. The main characteristic of the RPAS is that the pilot is not co-located (remote pilot: RPIL) with the remotely piloted aircraft (RPA). Another characteristic is that some automated functions may require remote machine machine communications without the formal initiation or acknowledgement from the RPIL. A data link is supporting the interactive functions between the airborne system and the ground system. This data link may also carry information between air traffic services (ATS) and the RPIL. It is expected that RPAS are compatible with the way "manned aviation" operations are carried out, while interacting with ATS and with other aircraft, and maintain the current and foreseen safety levels in aviation.
- 2.1.2 In addition, the continuing growth of aviation places increasing demands on airspace capacity and emphasizes the need for the optimum utilization of the available airspace. Poor performance in the communications between the RPIL and the RPA would for example lead to increased separation and reduced airspace capacity to maintain the current safety levels. These factors, allied with the requirement for operational efficiency within acceptable levels of safety, have resulted in the need for a performance-based aviation system.
- 2.1.3 The transition to a performance-based aviation system is a critical aspect of the evolution to a safe and efficient global air traffic management (ATM) environment. In the context of RPAS command and control (C2), it will be necessary to ensure acceptable operational performance, taking into account changing technologies.
- 2.1.4 It is very difficult to accommodate the wide variety of RPA architectures (particularity the levels of aircraft automatic operation and hence the need to certain levels of availability on the C2 link) and safety targets (driven by intended operating environments) within one or maybe a small group of Performance Types. However if we take the approach of defining the C2

radio link performance based on ATC and other airspace users operational expectations (as was done for ATM required communication performance - RCP), such as the RPAS's responsiveness to ATC requests, then the RPAS design community could achieve to operate in their desired airspace and then to make their unique design specific balance of RF link performance, message checking and encryption against aircraft automatic operation. Therefore this is not addressing just the performance of the radio "link" with RLP but the responsiveness of the RPAS to the ATM system adapted to the expected air operational environment the RPAS is designed against: RSP and RNP requirements and others like any requirements coming from the detect and avoid function for example must be addressed.

- 2.1.5 RPAS C2 is the aggregation of the airborne and ground-based functions executed between the RPS and the RPA as commanded by the RPIL or automated to achieve the interactions required to ensure the safe and efficient flight of the RPA during all phases of operations.
- 2.1.6 RPAS C2 is achieved through the collaborative integration of humans, information, technology, facilities and services, and is supported by communication, by detect and avoid, and by navigation and surveillance capabilities that are dependent on each other. Therefore, to determine the capability and performance requirements of the C2 system, it will be necessary to consider the system in its overall context, taking into account all its interdependencies. The automation level and the C2 system complexity are to be taken into account.
- 2.1.7 The communications supporting C2 functions may also support ATM functions, including interactions between the Air traffic controller and the RPIL, e.g. voice or digital messaging.
- 2.1.8 The RLP provides means to ensure the acceptable performance of end-toend performance requirements for RPAS C2 in non-segregated airspace.
- 2.1.9 Since the C2 link is a supporting system of the C2 system, the performance of the C2 link is contributing to meet the RLP parameters. In figure 4-3 the "communications allocation" is the segment that includes the C2 link performance.

2.2 THE RLP CONCEPT

- 2.2.1 The RLP characterizes the performance required of communication capabilities that support RPAS C2 functions without reference to any specific technology and is open to new technology. This approach is essential to the evolution of operational concepts that use emerging technologies. Examples of RPAS C2 functions include, but are not limited to, the provision of commands to the RPA flight management system, the modification of the RPA and the monitoring system status, the acknowledgement of received commands, the feedback of RPA health parameters. RPAS C2 functions are usually separated into telecommand and telemetry. Telecommand comprises information coming from the remote pilot station (RPS²) where the RPIL is located to the RPA (uplink or forward link). Telemetry comprises information coming from the RPA location link).
- 2.2.2 The RLP assesses operational communication transactions in the context of a RPAS C2 function, taking into account human interactions, system design, procedures and environmental characteristics.
 - 2.2.2.1 The contribution of the human can be significant to RLP. Communication is the accurate transfer between sender and receiver of information which can be readily understood by both.
 - 2.2.2.2 In some cases, C2 information might be exchanged between the RPA and the RPS systems without a human in the loop (example: internal systems parameter monitoring involving a threshold).
 - 2.2.2.3 An operational communication transaction is the process a human <u>or</u> <u>a system</u> initiator uses to send C2 information, and is completed when it is verified that the message was received, interpreted correctly and any action required as a result of that interpretation is correctly completed.
 - 2.2.2.4 Because of the numerous variants in the design of a RPAS C2 system, including different levels of automation, message transmission protocols and control mode classes, the RLP is designed to:

² RPS are sometimes named Ground Control Stations (GCS)

- Allow the same level of integrity of the C2 transactions for a given function, or group of functions, regardless of realisation of the RPAS C2 system.
- support the RPAS operator in contracting a communication service for RPAS C2 functions in a standardized way.

- 2.2.2.5 The RLP is designed in order that the RPAS C2 meets the performance or safety requirements and criteria of that airspace / operational context and needs to take into account the design of each C2 system. The RLP cannot be prescribed as an operational parameter only (e.g. landing).
- 2.2.3 The RLP is based upon "operationally significant" benchmarks which when attained assures confidence that the operational communications supporting the RPAS C2 functions will be conducted in an acceptably safe manner.
- 2.2.4 The basis for the development of the RLP was the need for objective operational criteria, in the form of a "RLP type", to evaluate a variety of communication technologies. Once these criteria have been set and accepted, a specific implementation of a RPAS C2 function including its technical and human performance may have its viability assessed against acceptable operational criteria.
 - 2.2.4.1 A RLP type is a label (e.g. RLP X) that defines a performance standard for operational communication transactions. Each RLP type denotes values for communication transaction time, continuity, availability and integrity applicable to the most stringent RPAS C2 function.
 - 2.2.4.2 The RLP is not based on technology; however, it is not intended to promote an unrestricted number of alternative communication technologies for one RPAS C2 function. Interoperability, certification, safety oversight and cost considerations will be major items to contend with during such consultations.
- 2.2.5 Several factors may affect States decisions as to when a RLP type will be prescribed. These factors are based on the safety level required in a given airspace or over a populated area and may differ depending on the operation carried out.
- 2.2.6 In order to achieve the benefits that are advantageous to States, communication service providers and users, there is a need to ensure consistent definition and use of communication capabilities in order to apply the RLP concept on a global basis.

- 2.2.7 The RLP seeks to manage the performance of communications supporting evolving C2 systems and emerging technologies. This is achieved by:
 - determining a RLP type for the communication capabilities supporting a C2 function; then
 - prescribing the RLP type(s) related to the communications system(s) supporting the RPAS C2 functions within that operational environment; and
 - complying with the prescribed RLP type(s) through analysis, operational assessments and performance monitoring of the communication systems.

2.3 DETERMINING AN RLP TYPE

- 2.3.1 To enable an RPAS C2 function within a performance-based operational environment, it will be necessary to characterize the performance required for the applicable elements. RLP will be used in conjunction with any other appropriate performance-based measures. Chapter 3 provides guidance for determining a RLP type for an RPAS C2 function.
- 2.3.2 For a particular RPAS C2 function, an increase or decrease in the required performance of technical communications may allow a trade-off in design complexity provided that the target level of safety is achieved.
- 2.3.3 It is important that States globally harmonize RLP type for the same or similar operational environment in order to guarantee interoperability resulting from confusion when operating across airspace boundaries.

2.4 PRESCRIBING AN RLP TYPE

2.4.1 After a RLP type has been determined, it may be prescribed for a set of RPAS complexity types in a given operational environment.

Potential typology to which RLP may be applied includes:

- a) Control categories;
- b) ATM environment;
- c) Type and location of operation;
- d) Class of airspace.

- 2.4.2 When a RLP type is prescribed, the RLP type(s) will indicate the requirements for qualification and approval of the procedures, aircraft equipage and communications infrastructure.
- 2.4.3 The operational environment influences the selection of the RLP type. As an example, the prescribed RLP type in terminal area airspace may be different than for en-route or oceanic airspace. Chapter 4 provides guidance for prescribing an RLP type for an operational environment.

2.5 COMPLYING WITH AN RLP TYPE

State requirements

- 2.5.1 In the case of the RPAS operator uses a RPAS C2 communications provider, since the RLP is a statement of required capability and of operational communication performance. If an RPAS operator uses a communications service provider (C2-CSP) for any element of the C2 service, there is an obligation on the part of the State to have oversight of the capability of the communication service to achieve the required level of safety and maintain the required communication performance.
- 2.5.2 The State must ensure that changes to services that rely on communication performance within a given airspace maintain the safety levels.
- 2.5.3 The State must ensure that communication service providers intending to support RPAS operators with a mandated RLP type are qualified and approved for such operations.
- 2.5.4 It should be noted that compliance with a RLP type can be achieved in many different ways, and the State may provide guidance on acceptable means through which the communications service provider and the RPAS operator can demonstrate how RLP is achieved.

RPAS operator / **RPAS** manufacturer requirements

- 2.5.5 The concept of RLP is based on the expected communication performance of all relevant communication capabilities used to support RPAS C2 functions.
- 2.5.6 There is an obligation on designers / manufacturers of RPAS and RPAS operators to achieve the communication performance for a specific RLP type. The designer / manufacturer of the RPAS must provide the operator with

details of the RLP(s) which is / are required to operate safely in a given environment.

2.5.7 Since RLP is a statement of operational communication performance, there is an obligation on the part of the operator to provide the necessary procedures and the training to ensure that RPAS equipage and related communication services comply with the required communication performance.

C2 Communication service provider requirements

- 2.5.8 The C2 communication service provider can be internal or external to the operator. The C2 communication service provider must provide the expected performance through the appropriate legal contracting means to provide the expected performance.
- 2.5.9 The C2 communication service provider must inform in due time the RPAS operator of any expected³ or current communication performance degradation outside of the RLP type parameters.

Monitoring communication performance

- 2.5.10 Monitoring provides objective operational data to determine that the C2 communication service provider continues to meet the RLP type. Monitoring includes data collection on a routine basis and as problems or abnormalities arise.
- 2.5.11 Monitoring is performed by organizations in control of or responsible for a component of the communication system in operation. Authorities shall oversee the monitoring processes in order to avoid any conflict of interest.

³ A NoTAM or a similar vehicle could be the appropriate means to notify an expected degradation.

Chapter 3

3 DETERMINING AN RLP TYPE

3.1 RLP TYPE

- 3.1.1 In order to simplify the RLP type naming convention and to make the required communication transaction time readily apparent to airspace planners, aircraft manufacturers and operators, the RLP type is specified by a letter.
- 3.1.2 A RLP type comprises values assigned to the following parameters:
 - communication transaction time (TT), with two specified values;
 - Communication nominal time (TT 95%)
 - Communication expiration time (ET)
 - continuity (C);
 - availability (A); and
 - integrity (I).
- 3.1.3 RLP type parameters

3.1.3.1 Communication transaction time

The maximum time for the completion of the operational communication transaction after which the initiator should revert to an alternative procedure.

3.1.3.2 Continuity

Probability that a transaction can be completed within the communication transaction time given that the service was available at the start of the transaction (either ET or TT of 95%).

3.1.3.3 Availability

The probability that an operational communication transaction can be initiated when needed.

3.1.3.4 Integrity

The probability of one or more undetected errors in a completed communication transaction.

Note: There are multiple RPAS C2 functions supported by the same C2 data link. These functions are independently assessed to determine the most stringent requirement. The global value for each parameter is based on the parameter achieving the most stringent transaction.

3.2 RLP TYPES – GENERAL APPLICATION

- 3.2.1 RLP types are designed to ensure the RPAS operator uses a communication service (internal or external) which matches the safety requirements of the operational environment.
- 3.2.2 Because of the large number of the C2 functions compared to the limited number of ATM functions (which the RLP concept is inspired from), the set of most used RLP types will be limited to the most significant and common ones for general RPAS operations.
- 3.2.3 This limitation in the number of RLP types will help the C2 Communications service provider to design communication systems which match the most common needs from the RPAS operators.
- 3.2.4 It does not prevent a RPAS designer to opt for other RLP types as long as they support the safety levels and operational environment requirements. In such case, tight cooperation with C2 communications service providers and aviation competent authorities will be necessary. Special awareness must then also be raised towards RPAS operators.

RLP type	Transaction time	Continuity	Availability	Integrity
	(sec)			(Acceptable rate per flight hour)
RLP A	3	0.999	0.9999	10 ⁻⁵
RLP B	5	0.999	0.999	10 ⁻⁴
	15	0.999	0.999	10 ⁻⁴

3.2.5 Table 3-1 specifies RLP types envisaged for general application.

Table 3-1 Examples of RLP types (informative figures)

The strategy for populating table 3-1 includes cooperation from RPAS designers and communications service providers and national aviation authorities.

3.2.6 RLP types other than those provided in Table 3-1 may be established as experience is gained in RLP implementation.

Note1. RLP types are to be derived from current or assumed future traffic, RPA classes performance characteristics, ICAO control modes classification, industry standards, and other factors.

Note2. An example of the process and results related to determining an RLP type can be found in Appendix B.

3.3 ASSESSING OPERATIONAL COMMUNICATION TRANSACTIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE RPAS C2 FUNCTION

Operational communication transaction in the RPAS C2 function context

- 3.3.1 Figure 3-1 provides an overview of determining RLP type for a RPAS C2 function. A RLP type is determined from an assessment of the operational communication transactions in its operational context. System design may have to be taken into account later on in the process when dealing with integrated functions in the allocation of parameters values. In the context of the operational environment characteristics (airspace characteristics, such as separation minima, spacing criteria and capacity limits; ...), the RPAS C2 function is dependent on the C2 system design, including but not limited to transmission protocols, automation levels, message error correction, performance of the flight and ground computers and message criticality prioritization.
- 3.3.2 Given the airspace characteristics and design, the RLP type is used to characterize the communication capability and performance that needs to exist for the remote pilot or the C2 system to perform a RPAS C2 function.

- 3.3.3 However, in addition to the RLP type determined for a given function, other RLP types may be appropriate for specific operations that may have different characteristics. This dependency may be related to, for example:
 - functional differences in the means of control which provides an integrated remote control capability (swarm);
 - an increase in communications due to a time-critical operational context;
 - a contingency procedure in the event the primary communication system fails.

In such cases, it may be necessary to establish specific operational criteria using a different RLP type for the alternate means of communication to ensure that it performs as expected and to convey its performance characteristics to the remote pilot / C2 system for proper use. This RLP type is different from the RLP type established for the communications capability the remote pilot / C2 system uses to perform a C2 function.



Figure 3-1 Determining RLP type for a RPAS C2 function

- 3.3.4 There may be multiple operational communication transactions that support a RPAS C2 function. These transactions are assessed to determine the most stringent. The value for the communication transaction time parameter is based on the time needed to complete the most stringent transaction. Other RLP type parameters (continuity, availability and integrity), must also comply with the most stringent.
- 3.3.5 Performance of the operational communication transaction of a C2 function can be determined by safety modelling. Given the C2 function involved, appropriate safety criteria (buffer, separation, reaction time...) must be used.
- 3.3.6 Next figures illustrate the RPAS C2 operational communication transaction in the context of RPAS C2 communications supporting an altitude change message from the remote pilot from an ATC clearance and with a reporting

feed back message automatically sent by the RPA (telemetry information not used as primary source of information). ICAO Annex 11 Chapter 3 section 3.7.3 requires that the safety-related part(s) of any clearance or instruction be read back to the air traffic controller.

- 3.3.6.1 If the C2 link is not used to carry the ATC-RPIL communications then RLP is solely function of the operational context. The RLP type for the C2 commands implementing the manoeuvre will be determined by the expected compliance with the manoeuvre in that airspace (figure 3-2). In figure 3-2, ATC CLEARANCE and REMOTE PILOT READ BACK are functionally described: They may or may not be relayed by the RPAS, but the figure depicts that ATC CLEARANCE is transmitted to the remote pilot station, processed and the READ BACK is sent to the ATS unit.
- 3.3.6.2 The RLP type is by no means related to the ATM RCP type, the ATC communications being either carried by a different link between the RPA and the RPS or directly conveyed between the ATS unit and the remote pilot station as depicted in figure 3.2.



Figure 3-2 RLP type when the C2 link is not carrying ATC communications

3.3.6.3 If ATC-RPIL communications are carried by the C2 link, the RLP type for the C2 commands implementing the manoeuvre has to be shorter than the related ATM-RCP since C2 communications takes place within the timeframe of ATC intervention requirements in addition to the communication durations required between ATS and the RPA and between the RPA and ATS, which both are independent of the RPAS (figure 3-3).



Figure 3-3 RLP type when the C2 link is carrying ATC communications

- 3.3.7 Figure 3-4 illustrates a similar situation of altitude change initiated by the RPIL or an automated C2 function outside of the context of an ATM clearance. Two cases are described.
 - 3.3.7.1 First when the airborne C2 system sends back a report (feed back message) to acknowledge the altitude change initiation by the flight computer. Second when there is no automatic report (feed back message) but confidence comes from automated periodic altitude telemetry information.
 - 3.3.7.2 Figure 3-4 aims at demonstrating by an example that the time necessary for the pilot to be confident that the manoeuvre has commenced may be different depending on the message

transaction typology (in that example, reaction time is longer). This time must be anyway shorter than the RLP type for the C2 commands implementing the manoeuvre.



internally initiated altitude change

3.3.8 Figure 3-5 is picturing an event requiring a telecommand initiated by the telemetry of the RPA (e.g. information from the "detect and avoid" subsystem). It describes the sequence of actions and communication transactions required.



Figure 3-5 RPAS C2 Communications capabilities and performance initiated by a telemetry message

Continuity

- 3.3.9 The value for the continuity parameter is selected based on the results of an operational hazard assessment.
 - 3.3.9.1 The operational hazard assessment must include a severity-of-effects analysis of detected errors within the communication transactions. Detected errors include, but are not limited to:
 - detecting that the transaction has exceeded the communication transaction time;
 - detecting that one or more messages within the transaction are corrupted, misdirected, directed out-of sequence or lost, and cannot be corrected to complete the transaction within the operational communication transaction time; and
 - detecting loss of
 - the communication service or
 - the capability of the RPAS to use the service whilst transactions are pending completion.

- 3.3.9.2 An acceptable probability must be determined for the likelihood of occurrence of communication transactions with detected errors based on the severity-of-effects analysis.
- 3.3.10 The value for the continuity parameter is based on the acceptable probability of detected anomalous behaviours of the communication transaction.

Availability

- 3.3.11 The value for the availability parameter is selected based on the results of an operational hazard assessment. The operational hazard assessment must include a severity-of-effects analysis of the detected loss of the system which prohibits the initiation of a communication transaction.
 - 3.3.11.1 An acceptable probability must be determined for the likelihood of occurrence of an inability to initiate a transaction based on the severity-of-effects analysis.
- 3.3.12 The value for the availability parameter is based on the acceptable rate of detected inability to initiate a transaction.

Integrity

- 3.3.13 The value for the integrity parameter is selected based on the results of an operational hazard assessment. The operational hazard assessment must include a severity-of-effects analysis of communication transactions with undetected errors. Undetected errors include, but are not limited to:
 - undetected corruption of one or more messages within the transaction;
 - undetected misdirection of one or more messages within the transaction;
 - undetected delivery of messages in an order that was not intended;
 - undetected delivery of a message after the communication transaction time; and
 - undetected loss of service or interruption in a communication transaction.

Note. Undetected loss of service is associated with integrity because it is "undetected." In some operational scenarios, it is conceivable that a network could have failed with no indication provided to the users of the system.

- 3.3.13.1 An acceptable probability should be determined for the likelihood of occurrence of communication transactions with undetected errors based on the severity-of-effects analysis.
- 3.3.14 The value for the integrity parameter is the acceptable probability of communication transactions with undetected errors.

3.4 SELECTING THE RLP TYPE

- 3.4.1 Once all the safety and operational environment requirements have been determined in addition of the RPAS C2 design analysis, the RLP type which meets these requirements is selected from Table 3-1.
- 3.4.2 Separate analyses of different RPAS C2 functions may result in a number of different RLP types being determined for the different combination of RPAS C2 systems and operational environment and characteristics. See Chapter 4 for guidance on prescribing a RLP type in these situations.

Chapter 4

4 PRESCRIBING AN RLP TYPE

4.1 DETERMINE REQUIREMENTS

- 4.1.1 A RLP type may be used to prescribe operational RPAS C2 communication requirements based on the operational requirements and the C2 system design. However, in practice this is likely to be an iterative process. When information for ATM functions is relayed by the RPA to the RPS using the RPAS C2 link, the global RLP must be a combination of the most stringent ATM RCP and the RPAS C2 functions requirements.
- 4.1.2 Figure 4-1 provides an overview of a single C2 data link that supports multiple RPAS C2 functions each with a different RLP type and supports ATM functions with their ATM-RCP type.



Figure 4-1 Prescribing a RLP type supporting several RPAS C2 and ATM functions

4.1.3 It is not envisaged to allow an alternate means of communication for RPAS C2 communications that do not meet the RLP because C2 communications are critical for effective control of the RPA and because they safety related. In that context, figure 4-2 provides an overview of a normal means of communication and an alternate means of communication with different performance characteristics, both of which able to support a RPAS C2 function in the same operational environment. In this figure, ATM communications are not coupled with RPAS C2 communications to better demonstrate the alternate issue. Each means of communication has performance characteristics associated with it to ensure that it performs as expected. For example, those two means could be satellite communications and a ground communications network.



Figure 4-2 Prescribing an RLP type (Normal and alternate means of communication)

- 4.1.4 Once the RPAS C2 functions and the associated RLP type(s) for a particular set of operational environment requirements are established, they should be published in the appropriate documentation. Care should also be taken to ensure that any potential users of the RPAS are provided with an unambiguous definition of the procedures, aircraft equipage and training requirements necessary to operate in that operational environment as well as the performance monitoring processes.
- 4.1.5 In order to ensure that problems do not arise when these requirements are introduced, it is recommended that early liaison in the appropriate forum takes place between RPAS operators, C2 communications service providers and the competent authorities.
- 4.1.6 When a RLP type(s) is prescribed, the RLP type(s) will provide the basis for qualification and approval of the procedures, aircraft equipage and communication infrastructure. The basis for each type of approval is provided in the form of a RLP type allocation.

4.2 RLP TYPE ALLOCATION

- 4.2.1 RLP type allocation is the process of apportioning the various RLP type values to the various sub-systems. The results of this process are RLP type allocations that are used to:
 - a) assess viability of different technologies to meeting operational requirements;
 - b) design, implement and qualify communication services;
 - c) approve the provision of C2 communication services;
 - d) determine when to initiate contingency procedures;
 - e) design, implement, qualify and approve RPAS type designs;
 - f) approve RPAS operators for operations; and
 - g) operationally monitor, detect and resolve non-compliant performance.

- 4.2.2 RLP type allocations may need to be established by the competent authority or on the basis of regional air navigation agreements. However, in such cases, the competent authority should initiate appropriate action to document the RLP type allocations appropriate for each RLP type in line with international standards.
- 4.2.3 RLP type allocations are documented in ICAO manuals or industry-developed minimum aviation system performance standards which specify allocations for various communication system elements. Figure 4-3 provides a template for allocating capability and performance to RPAS C2 data communication. In systems where there is no automatic feedback message functionality, confidence in the completed transaction is provided by indirect feedback such as telemetry information (e.g. altitude measurement parameter). Responder performance includes the RPA flight dynamics delays and the telemetry latency due to its periodicity.



Figure 4-3 RLP type allocation template for typical RPAS C2 data communications

Note 1: RLTP is a statement of the performance requirements for operational communication limited to the technical communication portions of the communication process.

Note 2: TRN starts when the initiator portion ends. TRN ends when the initiator receives an indication of the operational reply.

Note 3: The responder portion starts when an indication of the receipt of a message is provided to the responder. The responder portion ends when the automation releases the operational reply.

Appendix A

Glossary of terms

Air Traffic Management

The aggregation of the airborne functions and ground-based functions (air traffic services, airspace management and air traffic flow management) required to ensure the safe and efficient movement of aircraft during all phases of operations.

ATM function

An individual operational component of air traffic services. Examples of ATM functions include, but are not limited to, the application of separation between aircraft, the re-routing of aircraft, and the provision of flight information.

Availability

The probability that an operational communication transaction can be initiated when needed.

Buffer

The period of time between initiation of a maneuver and its completion. This is longer than the transaction completion time.

Communication transaction time

The maximum time for the completion of the operational communication transaction after which the initiator should revert to an alternative procedure.

Continuity

The probability that an operational communication transaction can be completed within the communication transaction time.

C2 link

The datalink used for the purpose of command and control (C2) functions in a RPAS.

Communication system

A means that allows transmission and reception of data between the remote control station and the RPA.

Integrity

The probability of one or more undetected errors in a completed communication transaction.

Operational communication transaction

The process a human uses to send an instruction, a clearance, flight information, and/or a request. The process is completed when that human is confident that the transaction is complete.

Qualification

The process through which a State, approval authority and applicant ensure that a specific implementation complies with applicable requirements with a specified level of confidence.

Required C2 performance (RLP)

A statement of the performance requirements for the C2 end-to-end system in support of specific RPAS C2 functions (including ATM functions when relayed by the RPA and supported by the C2 link).

RLP availability (A)

The required probability that an operational communication transaction can be initiated when needed.

RLP continuity (C)

The minimum proportion of operational communication transactions to be completed within the specified RLP transaction time, given that the service was available at the start of the transaction.

RLP integrity (I)

The required probability that an operational communication transaction is completed with no undetected errors.

RLP transaction time (TT)

The maximum time for the completion of a proportion of operational communication transactions after which the initiator should revert to an alternative procedure. Two values are specified:

- a) RLP nominal time (TT 95%). The maximum nominal time within which 95% of operational communication transactions is required to be completed
- b) RLP expiration time (ET). The maximum time for the completion of the operational communication transaction after which the initiator is required to revert to an alternative procedure.

RLP type

A label (e.g. RLP X) that represents the values assigned to RLP parameters for communication.

RLP type allocation

The process of apportioning the various RLP type values to the various parts of the system.

RPAS C2 function

Function = Intended behaviour of a product based on a defined set of requirements regardless of implementation (from SAE ARP 4754A).

Examples of RPAS C2 functions include all the functions by which a remote pilot is effectively having control over the RPA navigation, attitude and the RPA airborne systems.

RLTP (Required Link Technical Performance)

The technical transit time for C2 data delivery that does not include the human (or the automatic response system) times for message composition, operational response by human operator (or automatic system), and recognition of the operational response.

RLTP_x

The maximum time allocated to the critical transit times for a C2 message, allocated to system X.

Appendix B

Example of determining a RLP type (informative)

The RPAS C2 function in this example is a routine remote pilot input changing the RPA altitude using a data link communications to send a single message to the Flight Management System (FMS) of the RPAS. Modification of altitude could result from a demand from ATC for separation assurance or from a mission requirement.

To maintain separation minima at an acceptably safe level, the remote pilot must convey in the minimum time the appropriate actions to achieve the change in altitude.

Additionally, with proper integration into the RPS, the C2 link system will enable the remote pilot to maintain an acceptable level of workload.

According to the message typology, an altitude change message will be event driven and it will require a feedback message.

The transaction time for the C2 link system can be determined using an iterative process to determine the allowable increase in air traffic demand, the amount of C2 communications performed using the C2 link, and viable options offered by the enabling technologies and implementations. Analysis of empirical data and simulations can determine the types and volume of transactions.

The continuity, availability and integrity can be determined based on severity of effects analysis, using the criteria provided in Chapter 3 of this manual.

The scenario involves the use of data communications by the RPIL to ensure an acceptably safe manoeuvre compatible with the ATC expectations.

To determine the RLP type one should:

- a) Define the C2 RPAS function components
 - i) describe the operational environmental characteristics in which the function will be performed;
 - ii) describe the operational communication transaction associated with that function and other RPAS system performance (control mode category, automation level, ...)

- b) Balance capabilities and performances required for the control mode and automation of the RPAS by:
 - i) determining the operational performance expectations associated with performing that function;
 - ii) determining any safety requirements associated with the effects of failures arising during the performance of the function;
 - iii) determining the values for the RLP parameters associated with performing the function; and
- c) Select the RLP type based on the determined values.

Define the RPAS C2 function components

The C2 function process must be described in details for later budget calculation and assessment of possible failure conditions.

In the example of an altitude change table B-1 describes the control and communication process for RPAS fitted with the control mode category "B" (vector control).

Figure B-1 provides a pictorial reference of the time sequence that occurs during the steps used to complete the operational communication transaction for a routine RPAS C2 function communication using the C2 link system connecting the RPS and the RPA. The numbers shown in the diagram map to steps described in Table B-1.

Step	Operating step
0	The remote pilot is notified by voice / data ATM communications to change the RPA altitude and has sent a respond (WILCO) or has to perform the change altitude manoeuvre as planned or must perform this manoeuvre for self-separation.
1	The RPIL uses the RPS HMI to set the control parameters performing the altitude change
2	The RPIL initiates the manoeuvre by a voluntary action (sending the control information to the RPA)

3	The RPS C2 processing system formats the control information into a C2 message and send it for transmission to the RPS communication system
4	The RPS communication system formats the control message by applying the appropriate communications protocols and interfaces with a communication system / network providing the RPS-RPA C2 link
5	The formatted information is sent to the RPA
6	The formatted information is received by the RPA
7	The FMS understands the content of the formatted information and prepares an acknowledgment message
8	The acknowledgment message is ready to be sent back to the RPS
9	The acknowledgment message is received / forwarded by the communication system / network to the RPS
10	The acknowledgement message is received by the RPS communication system and send to the C2 RPS HMI
11	The message is understood by the RPS C2 system and presented to the RPIL

Table B-1

Communications or information processing are involved from step 2 to step 11.



Figure B-1. Sequence of operating steps

The continuity, availability and integrity are assessed based on severity-ofeffects analysis, considering the operational hazards that can occur during the operational communication transaction for routine RPAS C2 functions communications. These operational hazards and their effects are shown in Table B-2. The hazards were generalized to the worst possible case to determine the hazard level.

Operational hazard	Operational effect	Hazard classification (or severity effect)
Loss of ability to provide the altitude change message to the RPA C2	Change altitude will not be performed and no feed back message will be sent by the RPA C2 system. The RPIL does not know if it is a RPA failure or a C2 link failure unless the C2 link is continuously monitored independently of the operational transmissions.	Hazardous
Loss of ability to provide feed back	The RPIL does not know if the message has been received and processed until	Major

message to the remote pilot	some telemetry information physically confirms the RPA manoeuvre. The RPIL may send again an altitude change request that would end up with doubling the initial command. HMI must be designed avoid such situation.	
Detected late or expired altitude change message	The RPA C2 system receives the message late or expired (based on time stamping information); the RPA C2 system disregards the message and informs the remote pilot.	Minor
Detected misdirection of the altitude change message	The RPA C2 system receives an inappropriate message (misaddressing). Message is disregarded. The RPIL is not informed.	No effect
Detected corruption of the altitude change message	The RPA C2 system realizes the message is corrupted. It disregards the message and informs the RPIL.	Minor
Undetected late or expired altitude change message	The message arrives as the altitude change is no longer to be performed and no mitigation systems realized this situation. The RPA C2 system orders the FMS to perform the manoeuvre. It results in unexpected flight level transition. Separation issue with surrounding traffic is expected.	Major / hazardous
Undetected misdirection of an altitude change message	 The RPA C2 system receives an inappropriate message (misaddressing) and acknowledges it as valid. The RPA C2 system orders the FMS to perform the manoeuvre. It results in unexpected flight level transition. Separation issue with surrounding traffic 	Major / hazardous

	is expected.	
Undetected corruption	The RPA C2 system received a	Major /
of the altitude change	message with wrong information	hazardous
message	resulting in a different altitude change	
	than expected (core message error) or	
	in a different command affecting another	
	subsystem (C2 message header error).	
The RPA has an expected behaviour.		

Table B-2

For RPAS, the RLP operational communication breakdowns as follows:



Transaction time	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т8	Т6	Τ7
Continuity	C2	C3	C4	C5	C8	C6	C7
Availability	A2	A3	A4	A5	A8	A6	A7
Integrity	12	13	14	15	18	16	17

Where:

• Step 2 Remote pilot HMI: Time it takes for a remote pilot to set up the RPAS C2 altitude change instruction or to display the feed back information.

- Step 3 RPS C2 processing system: Time taken to convert the instruction into the appropriate format (i.e. a C2 message) or to interpret the feed back message.
- Step 4 RPS communication system: The time taken to multiplex the C2 messages and initiate the communication with the Communication service provision or vice versa (demultiplex with downlink).
- Step 5/step 8 Communication provision service: Time taken to deliver the message from the RPS transmission communication interface to the RPA reception communication interface whether that be direct or via a terrestrial, airborne or satellite link or vice versa
- Step 6 RPA communication system: Time taken to demultiplex the messages and pass them to the RPA C2 system or to multiplex the feed back message and to initiate the communication with the Communication service provision.
- Step 7 RPS C2 processing system: Time taken by the RPA C2 system to analyze the message and tag it as appropriate or not. Prepare the feed back message. If a feed back message function is not part of the C2 system, return information will come from regular telemetry information and will follow step 6, 4, 3 and 2.

Total transaction time = 2 x (T2 + T3 + T4 + T6) + T5 + T7 + T8 in sec

Note: this calculation is valid only for functions which require a feed back message. It must not be used for those with no feed back message.

Table B-3 presents typical safety objectives associated with the hazards classified in Table B-2 for operational communication transaction for routine RPAS C2 functions communications.

The likehood of a loss of ability to provide C2 messages for the RPA shall not be greater than probable

The likehood of late or expired C2 message delivery shall be no greater than probable

The likehood of misdirection of a C2 message shall be no greater than probable

The likehood of undetected misdirection of a C2 message used for altitude change shall be no greater than remote

The likehood of undetected corruption of a C2 message used for altitude change shall be no greater than remote

The likehood of undetected out of sequence C2 messages used for altitude change shall be no greater than remote

Table B-3 safety objectives

Table B-4 presents examples of safety requirements resulting from the hazard assessment performed on the sequential operational communication transaction for an altitude change request by the remote pilot.

When a clearance requires execution of a manoeuvre to be done in more than one message in a specific order, the messages shall be put in order that they are executed in a single uplink transmission

Each message shall be time stamped

The time stamp shall indicate the time that the message is released by the initiator for onward transmission

Any processing (data entry/encoding/transmitting/decoding/displaying) shall not affect the intent of the message

The receiver shall reject messages not addressed to its end system

The initiating system shall be capable of indicating to the end user when a required response is not received within the required time

When a received message contains a time stamp that indicated the time has been exceeded, the receiving system shall either discard the message and inform the initiator or display the message with the appropriate indication

When the remote pilot is informed that a response has not been sent within the required response time, the remote pilot shall apply an appropriate procedure The recipient shall be capable of detecting a corrupted message

The message prioritization process shall be dynamic to use the information of messages which have timed out or were received corrupted

Table B-4 Safety requirements

The performance objectives associated with operational communication transaction for an altitude change request from the remote pilot are shown in Table B-5. This table only considers performance objectives for major hazards.

Description of parameter	Value	
Unexpected interruption of a transaction	10 ⁻⁴ per aircraft per flight hour	
Loss of communication transaction	10 ⁻⁵ per aircraft per flight hour	
Loss of service	10 ⁻⁶ per aircraft per flight hour	
Undetected corrupted transaction	10 ⁻⁵ per aircraft per flight hour	

Table B-5 Performance objectives (informative figures)

Select the RLP type

Based on the results of the simulations, empirical data and analyses, as indicated in Table 3-1 of Chapter 3, the RLP type applied to the RPAS C2 link system for a RPAS C2 altitude change request communication is RLP "A".